

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/666,418	LILLIEBJERG, ERIK	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
JENNIFER N. TO	2195	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) JENNIFER N. TO.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) JOSE S. GARCIA.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 30 November 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Potential 35 U.S.C 101 rejection*

Claims discussed:

3-7,10-14,21-22

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jennifer N To/  
 Patent Examiner, AU 2195

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to amend the claims in accordance to examiner's amendment to clarify the the language of claim 22 and its dependent claims to overcome the potential 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection by indicating in the claims that the computer-readable medium is in fact a non-transitory computer-readable medium. In addtion, applicant agreed to amend the claims to correct minor formality issues.